

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,674	11/04/2003	Atsushi Ayabe	244846US2	6480
22850	7590 07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			TO, TUAN C	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3663	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 11 41 14				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/699,674	AYABE ET AL.			
Omes Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TL. MAN ING DATE COL	Tuan C. To	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Oc	ctober 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· .	•			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/ar		ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachment(s)					
) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

the

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6 are the combination claims, drawn to a basic transmission system for vehicle, classified in class 701, subclass 51.
- Claim 7 is a subcombination claim, drawn to transmission control and clutch control, classified in class 192, subclass 3.51.
- III. Claims 8-15 are process claims, drawn to a process of controlling automatic transmission with fluid operated clutch, classified in class 477, subclass 56.3.

Inventions Hand III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the invention k is an apparatus for an automatic transmission for a vehicle can be used to practice the process of controlling fuel supply to the engine during coasting of the vehicle.

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Art Unit: 3663

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the controller is adapted to calculate the slip rotation speed of the lockup clutch, and control the hydraulic device so that the oil pressure of the lockup clutch becomes constant if the slip rotation speed calculated is greater than a predetermined rotation speed during a downshift of the automatic transmission. The subcombination has separate utility such as calculation means for calculating the slip rotation speed of the lockup clutch, and control means for controlling the hydraulic device so that the oil pressure of the lockup clutch becomes constant if the slip rotation speed calculated is greater than a predetermined rotation speed during a downshift.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purpose as indicated is proper.

## Species Election:

Upon election of invention I, II, or III, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):

- A. Embodiment wherein slip rotation speed calculated is greater than predetermined rotation speed during a downshift of the automatic transmission.
- B. Embodiment wherein slip rotation speed calculated is less than a predetermined rotation speed while the oil pressure fixing control is being executed.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 3663

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## **Conclusions**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/tc

July 08, 2005